

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUY T. STRINGHAM,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S- 04-1530 DFL GGH P

vs.

R. LEE, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 25, 2005, defendants Herrera, Grannis, Allen, Thumser, Schwartz, O'Ran, Fisher, Providence, and Lee filed their answer to plaintiff's complaint. On March 3, 2005, plaintiff filed a motion for a preliminary injunction asking the court to grant the relief preliminarily that he seeks on a permanent basis by his complaint. Defendants have filed no response to plaintiff's motion. Moreover, a response to the complaint is overdue from defendant Bradanick,¹ who signed a waiver of service on February 15, 2005, which was filed in this court on February 23, 2005.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

¹ The time for a response from the remaining defendants, CMF-Vacaville and the California Department of Corrections, has not yet expired.

1 1. Defendants Herrera, Grannis, Allen, Thumser, Schwartz, O’Ran, Fisher,
2 Providence, and Lee must file any response to plaintiff’s March 3, 2005 motion for preliminary
3 relief within 20 days; and

4 2. Defendant Bradanick must show cause, within 10 days, for his failure to
5 respond timely to plaintiff’s complaint.

6 DATED: 5/31/05

7 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

8 _____
9 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 GGH:009
11 strin1530.rsp
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26